

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIENDER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20241 www.uspio.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2000 MEMS-038 Thomas A. Skupien 11/03/1999 09/433,062 7590 01/13/2003 EXAMINER Todd M. Becker Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman, LLP ROY, SIKHA 12400 Wilshire Boulevard. 7TH fLOOR Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2879

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

		_
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/433,062	SKUPIEN, THOMAS A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sikha Roy	2879	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuous Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ∑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-12</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument in response to rejection of claims 7-12 is not pursuasive. Claims 7-12 as finally rejected are not allowable over the prior arts U. S. Patent 5,394,054 to Chen in view of U. S. Patent 5,990,610 to Matsumoto et al. Referring to claims 7,10 and 13 and new claims 13,16 and 18 respectively Chen teaches focusing of the electron beams is done by G3 grid 68, G4 grid 70 and G5 the grid 72. This can be viewed as first lens comprising G3 (second accelerator electrode) and G4 (focus electrode) and second lens comprising G4(focus electrode) and G5 (final accelerator electrode). Chen teaches (Fig.4) G5, the grid 72 is coupled to the anode voltage and to the conductive coating 46 disposed on the inner surface of the glass envelope.

)